Serial No. 10/678,643

Amendment Dated: January 30, 2006

Reply to Office Action Mailed: September 29, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 095309.52833US

REMARKS

Applicants acknowledge that this application is currently under final

rejection. Accordingly, a Request for Continued Examination has been

submitted concurrently herewith. Further examination of this application based

on the foregoing amendment is respectfully requested.

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of Claims 6-9 and 11-21 as set forth

in item 5 of the Office Action, and the indication of the allowability of the subject

matter of Claim 10, subject to correction of certain formal issues discussed below,

as set forth in item 6. In response to item 2 of the Office Action, Applicants have

amended Claim 10 to depend from Claim 6, as suggested by the Examiner.

Accordingly, Claim 10 is now believed to be allowable.

Claims 2-5 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable

over Takeda et al (Published U.S. Patent Application No. 2004/0061348 A1) in

view of Berdan et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,353,571). However, for the reasons set

forth hereinafter, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 2 through 5 as

amended distinguish over the cited references, whether considered separately or

in combination.

The Takeda et al reference discloses a side molding for a vehicle which

includes "a main body, [and] clips for mounting the main body to the body or door

Page 8 of 11

Serial No. 10/678,643

Amendment Dated: January 30, 2006

d: January 50, 2000

Reply to Office Action Mailed: September 29, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 095309.52833US

of the motor vehicle...." (Abstract, lines 6-7.) Each clip 24 (Figure 3) "includes a

leg part for fitting in the vehicle body or vehicle door", as well as a head 42 for

attaching to the main body. (See paragraph [0055].) In mounting the side

molding to a body or door of the motor vehicle, the clips 24 are attached to the

main body 22, and are distributed at distances of 100 to 250 millimeters. The

side molding 28, to which the clips 24 have thus been attached is mounted on the

body or door of the motor vehicle "by inserting the leg part 40 of each clip 24" in

each of a plurality of clip holes which have previously been provided in the side

panel of the vehicle body or door. (See paragraphs [0069] and [0070].)

As is apparent from the foregoing, the Takeda et al reference discloses a

body molding which is attached to the vehicle by a plurality of individual clips,

each of which has a dsingle attachment point (leg part 40). The clips are

attached to the side molding at intervals of 100 to 250 millimeters along its

length. By contrast, Claim 2 of the present application recites that each part of

the support element includes a plurality of discrete attachment points

distributed along its longitudinal direction, for connection of the visible element,

and furthermore that in an installed state of the vehicle covering component, the

parts of the support element abut one another lengthwise, forming a

substantially continuous support structure with the attachment points

distributed along the longitudinal direction thereof. These features are neither

taught nor suggested by Takeda et al.

Page 9 of 11

Serial No. 10/678,643

Amendment Dated: January 30, 2006

Reply to Office Action Mailed: September 29, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 095309.52833US

Moreover, the Berdan et al reference has been cited in regard to Claims 2

through 5 only as teaching the use of double sided tape to attach a support

element to a vehicle. Like Takeda et al, Berdan et al fails to teach or suggest a

vehicle covering component such as recited in Claim 2, which includes an

elongate support element which comprises at least two discrete parts, each of

which includes a plurality of attachment points distributed along its length in

the manner described previously. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit

that Claims 2 through 5 distinguish over the cited Takeda et al and Berdan et al

references.

In light of the foregoing remarks, this application should be in condition

for allowance, and early passage of this case to issue is respectfully requested. If

there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general,

a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should

expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

Page 10 of 11

Serial No. 10/678,643 Amendment Dated: January 30, 2006 Reply to Office Action Mailed: September 29, 2005 Attorney Docket No. 095309.52833US

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #095309.52833US).

Respectfully submitted,

Gary R. Edwards

Registration No. 31,824

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group

P.O. Box 14300

Washington, DC 20044-4300

Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500

Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

GRE:kms 2709893v1